



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,996	02/20/2002	Thomas Huber	INTE.25USU1 (ITC38)	9681
27479 75	90 03/31/2006		EXAM	INER
COCHRAN FREUND & YOUNG LLC			JOHNSON, ALAN M	
2026 CARIBOU SUITE 200	J DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLIN	IS, CO 80525		2623	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/080,996	HUBER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alan M. Johnson	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
n at all for Donly					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2h) Th	is action is non-tinal.	procedution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 O.D. 11	, 100 0.01 2.11			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/o	of election requirements				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	. Francisco			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt to the c	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	s objected to, See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner: Note the difference of				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the partial But	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
See the attached detailed office detailed of the disease of the di					
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) \(\bigcup \) Notice of Into (6) \(\bigcup \) Other: \(\bigcup_{===}^{\infty} \)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,				

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-2 and 3-7, drawn to a method of broadcast plural program versions, classified in class 725, subclass 87.
 - II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a method of broadcasting a first program containing multiple versions, a second program containing multiple versions and determining if the two programs coincide, classified in class 725, subclass 93.
 - III. Claim 10, drawn to a method of broadcasting a first program containing multiple versions, a second program containing multiple versions and determining if the two programs coincide with ad insertions, classified in class 725, subclass 32.
 - IV. Claims 11-14, drawn to a method of broadcasting plural program versions with associating an ad determined by a first characteristic, classified in class 725, subclass 36.
 - V. Claims 15-17, drawn to inserting first and second advertisements in first and second programs respectively if channels are available, classified in class 725, subclass 95.
 - VI. Claims 19-21 and 22, drawn to a method of selecting a version of a program based on stored user preferences and a system for indication a

Art Unit: 2623

viewer preference from a list of versions of a program and also selecting a transmitted version from plural version based on stored preferences, classified in class 725, subclass 35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, IV, V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable.

In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as transmitting plural program versions over the Internet;

In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as determining when two programs coincide over satellite transmission.

In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such determining if two programs coincide with ad insertion over broadcast radio.

In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such broadcasting plural programs with associating an ad determined by food characteristics transmitted over telephone lines.

In the instant case, subcombination V has separate utility such as bandwidth allocation over the Internet.

In the instant case, subcombination VI has separate utility such as selecting a program version over from satellite radio. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2623

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Jonathan Bockman on 03/21/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2623

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571)272-7916. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C. Grant can be reached on (571)272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2623

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600